Society, History, and the Future of Human Freedom

Human beings are not only individuals; we are social creatures. From the first tribes that hunted together to the vast cities of today, our survival has always depended on cooperation. To speak of society is to speak of ourselves—not as isolated beings, but as participants in structures far larger than us.

Philosophy, if it is to be complete, must therefore ask: how should we live together?

History as Struggle
Karl Marx gave perhaps the most powerful model of this question. Too often his name is reduced to an ideology, either praised or condemned. But Marxism, properly understood, is not primarily an ideology. It is a <i>social theory</i> —a scientific model for understanding how societies are structured, how they change, and why they often produce inequality.
Just as Durkheim saw society as an organism seeking cohesion, and Weber examined the rationalization of modern life, Marx analyzed the role of material conditions—the economy, laborals. His central insight was simple: before we can build cathedrals or compose symphonies, we must eat. The way a society organizes survival—who produces, who controls, who consumes—shapes its laws, its culture, even its morality.
History, in this view, is not random. It is driven by struggle: masters and slaves, lords and serfs, capitalists and workers. Each epoch carries within it both achievements and contradictions, and from those tensions, new forms of society emerge.

Capitalism and Its Contradictions

Capitalism, the system we inhabit today, is a monument to both human ingenuity and human fragility. On one hand, it has unleashed unprecedented innovation, lifted billions from poverty, and woven the world into a global network. On the other hand, it produces stark inequalities, alienates individuals from the meaning of their labor, and places relentless strain on the planet itself.

Consumerism promises happiness but often delivers distraction. Technology extends our capacities but also deepens surveillance and dependence. Nietzsche's warning—"God is dead"—finds a social echo here: the old moral anchors crumble, but no new foundation yet stands secure. The result is a lurking nihilism, a sense of "going through the motions," keeping the economy alive without knowing what it is for.
Society Beyond Ideology
To take Marxism seriously is not to preach revolution for its own sake, but to recognize its value as a <i>diagnostic tool</i> . It explains why inequality persists, why crises recur, why alienation gnaws at modern life. But Marxism is only one lens. Functionalism reminds us that societies seek order, stability, and shared norms. Weber reminds us that ideas, institutions, and culture shape outcomes as much as economics.
To combine these perspectives is not to dilute them but to enrich them. Just as a doctor uses multiple scans to understand the body, we must use multiple theories to understand society. Only then can we guide it toward health.
Humanism and Democracy
What, then, is the goal of social philosophy? It is not to idolize systems, but to serve people. Humanism—the recognition of human dignity, freedom, and well-being as ultimate values—must be the compass. And democracy—political, but also economic—must be the method.
Democracy means more than voting every few years. It means structuring society so that individuals have real power over the forces that shape their lives: their work, their communities, their futures. If the economy remains a realm of domination rather than cooperation, freedom will always be partial.
Technology makes this vision newly possible. Networks, automation, and artificial intelligence can concentrate power—or they can be harnessed to distribute it, to make decision-making more participatory, to ensure that wealth and knowledge serve the many rather than the few.

The Future: Freedom Together

The lesson of history is not despair, but direction. Societies change. Structures once thought
eternal—monarchies, empires, religious dogmas—have collapsed. New forms have emerged.
The contradictions of our present system are not the end of history; they are the seeds of
transformation.

f we embrace a scientific view of society—not as ideology but as analysis—while holding fast to he ethics of humanism, we can steer this transformation toward greater justice, freedom, and oy. The task is not to abandon skepticism but to direct it: not just what is wrong with the world?
out how can it be better?
•••

Conclusion: Hope in Collective Progress

We began with the individual and discovered the collective. We sought meaning and found it not only in solitude but in solidarity. To be human is to live among others, to shape and be shaped by them.

History teaches us that societies rise and fall, that no order is eternal. But it also teaches us that progress is possible—not inevitable, but possible—when knowledge, cooperation, and courage align. If we accept this responsibility, we may yet create societies where freedom is not the privilege of the few but the birthright of all.

T	he	fι	ıtı	ur	е	0	f I	hι	ır	n	aı	ni	ty	ı i	S	n	10	t	W	/r	itt	te	n	١.	lt	t١	W	ʻil	H	b	е	b	u	ıil	t.	F	۱	10	ŀ	it	٧	vil	I	b	е	b	ui	lt,	8	ıs	it	: 6	al۱	N	ay	/S	h	ıa	S	, t	0	g	et	h	е	r

.end.